Spontaneous bilateral tubal pregnancy in a nulliparous woman: Laparoscopic diagnosis and treatment
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ABSTRACT
A 34 year-old nulliparous woman was referred to our Department, complaining of vaginal bleeding and intermittent lower abdominal cramping. serum level of β-hCG was 4954 IU/L. Transvaginal ultrasound examination revealed no gestational sac in the uterine cavity and a right adnexal mass, suggestive of ectopic pregnancy; left adnexal area appeared regular. At laparoscopy, the presence of an ectopic pregnancy was confirmed in the right tube; on the left side, surprisingly, there was an intact infundibular ectopic pregnancy. Right tuba was removed; considering the condition of nulliparous of the patient, we decided to perform a linear salpingostomy. Histologic exam confirmed the diagnosis of spontaneous bilateral tubal ectopic pregnancies.
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INTRODUCTION
In the absence of preceding induction of ovulation, bilateral tubal pregnancy (BTP) is an extremely unusual occurrence. Its frequency has been estimated at 1/200 000 uterine pregnancies and 1/725–1/1580 ectopic pregnancies. However, in the last decades a 3-fold increase in the incidence of BTP as well as heterotopic pregnancy has been observed, mainly related to the rise of assisted reproduction techniques (ARTs). Preoperative diagnosis of BTP remains a challenge. Serum β-hCG estimation is not reliable as the values will be elevated more than that of a single ectopic. Detection with ultrasound scan is almost difficult and commonly failed in achieving a correct preoperative diagnosis. We report a misdiagnosed case of spontaneous BTP managed laparoscopically.

CASE REPORT
A 34 year-old nulliparous woman was referred to our gynaecology Unit with an approximate gestational age of 8 weeks, complaining of vaginal bleeding and intermittent lower abdominal cramping. She had no history of oral contraceptives, intrauterine device (IUD) or fertility drugs use, nor pelvic inflammatory disease and abdominal/pelvic surgery. Her menstrual history was not relevant. Interestingly, both patient and her husband had a family history of twins.

A physical examination revealed stable vital signs (blood pressure: 100/60 mmHg; heart rate: 75 beats/minute). Abdomen was soft and non-tender on palpation. At bimanual vaginal examination, we noted a bulky uterus and the adnexa were difficult to palpate; moreover, vaginal bleeding was confirmed. Admission serum level of β-hCG was 4954 IU/L. Transvaginal ultrasound (US) examination revealed no gestational sac in the uterine cavity and a right inhomogeneous adnexal...
mass, suggestive of ectopic pregnancy; nothing abnormal was detected in the left adnexal area. A small amount of fluid was present in the pouch of Douglas. The presumptive diagnosis of right tubal pregnancy was made.

After counseling with the patient with detailed explanation of treatment options, a laparoscopy was performed. Intra-operatively, a small amount of bleeding was noted in the anterior and posterior cul-de-sac. Uterus and ovaries were regular in morphology. The right tube showed an intact ectopic pregnancy (about 35x35 mm) in the ampullary region; on the left side, surprisingly, there was an intact infundibular ectopic pregnancy (measuring 20x20 mm approximately), that was bleeding and forming an organized haematoma between the fimbriae (Figure 1). Patient had spontaneous bilateral tubal pregnancies.

The right tube appeared greatly distended and, during the phase of its surgical mobilization, the rupture of the tubal wall, in its lower part, has been caused. Consequently, we decided to remove it, thinking that the the risks of conservative management were higher than the possible benefits.

However, considering the condition of nulliparous of the patient, we decided to perform a linear tube salpingostomy with cautery on the left tube, in order to preserve future fertility (Figure 2); a small product of conception was retrieved from the incision. No intra-operative complications occurred.

![Figure 1](image1.png)
Intra-operative view of BTP.

![Figure 2](image2.png)
Detail of the intact left tubal pregnancy (U: uterus; Ov: ovary; TP: tubal pregnancy).

All the specimens were sent for histologic exam, which revealed the presence of inflamed decidua with chorionic villi in both tubes. On day 1, a single-dose methotrexate (MTX) injection (50 mg/m2) was given to reduce the risk of post-salpingostomy ectopic pregnancy persistence. We monitored β-hCG level following the single-dose scheme of MTX treatment: on the 7th day, β-hCG declined to 294.3 IU/ml and 2 weeks after surgery, it was negative. At 6 months, patient was fine and β-hCG was negative.

**DISCUSSION**

Ectopic pregnancies are known to occur with increased frequency after ARTs and, accordingly, the rare event of BTP is generally related to the in vitro fertilization - embryo transfer (IVF-ET) treatment, as reported in medical literature. Cases of heterotopic triplet pregnancy (BTP and
intrauterine pregnancy) after IVF-ET are also described\[9\].

However, in many cases of BTP, no traditional risk factors are identified and these cases are called “primitive” or “spontaneous”\[7-9\]. The real mechanism to explain spontaneous BTP events is unclear. Among the hypotheses, multiple ovulation and trans-peritoneal migration of trophoblastic tissue from one tube to another, are included\[10,11\].

Pre-operative diagnosis of BTP is, to date, a daunting task. Clinical presentation is generally non-specific and unpredictable, and above all there are no specific clinical features to distinguish a BTP from a unilateral tubal form. Moreover, transvaginal US hardly ever allows clear identification of BTP; sometimes a visible tubal pregnancy that coexists with a doubtful image in the contralateral adnexa can arouse suspicion. Finally, serum levels of β-hCG and progesterone cannot distinguish BTP from a unilateral ectopic pregnancy.

Consequently, BTP is diagnosed in the operating room, when a second gestational sac on the contralateral tube is noted during direct inspection. However, a histopathological examination is essential to obtain a definitive diagnosis. Main diagnostic criterion of BTP, first suggested by Fishblack in 1939\[12\] and then confirmed by Norris in 1956\[13\], stated that the histologic presence of chorionic villi in each tubes should be sufficient to justify the diagnosis.

The management of bilateral tubal ectopic pregnancies may be either surgical (salpingostomy or salpingectomy) or medical (methotrexate administration), and depends to a large extent on the clinical status of the patient and the state of the fallopian tubes at presentation. Use of methotrexate is associated with a significant risk of failure in the treatment of BTP\[14\]. Therefore, a surgical approach is currently preferred.

In the last few years, with the advancements and diffusion of mini-invasive surgery\[15\], laparoscopy has become the gold standard for both diagnosis and treatment of this pathologic condition. The specific technical approach to choose depends on two main factors: 1. age of the patient and the desire of future fertility; 2. status of the involved tubes. Performing a review of international literature (including only articles in English language), we identified six papers describing laparoscopic management of spontaneous BTP\[4,16-20\] (Table 1). All the include articles reported a single case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>No. of cases (Age)</th>
<th>Admission symptoms</th>
<th>Admission serum level of β-hCG</th>
<th>Type of surgery</th>
<th>Adjunctive medical therapy</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idotta et al, 2001 [16]</td>
<td>1 (28)</td>
<td>no symptoms</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>bilateral salpingotomy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>chorionic villi in each tube.</td>
<td>previous conservative laparoscopy for tubal pregnancy and laparotomy for corpus luteal hemorrhage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sommer et al, 2002 [17]</td>
<td>1 (30)</td>
<td>painless vaginal bleeding</td>
<td>966 IU/L</td>
<td>bilateral salpingotomy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>chorionic villi in each tube.</td>
<td>recurrent left-side tubal pregnancy (12 months after).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews et al, 2008 [18]</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>vaginal bleeding, lower abdominal cramping</td>
<td>24.242 IU/L</td>
<td>bilateral salpingostomy</td>
<td>yes (methotrexate 50 mg/m2)</td>
<td>chorionic villi in each tube.</td>
<td>fragments of fetal tissue (vertebral column, neurological structures, liver, intestine, umbilical cord) from LT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liao et al, 2009 [19]</td>
<td>1 (30)</td>
<td>vaginal spotting, pelvic pain</td>
<td>686 IU/L</td>
<td>bilateral salpingostomy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>lysis of adhesions and left fimbrioplasty was also performed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathловithi et al, 2012 [20]</td>
<td>1 (37)</td>
<td>vaginal bleeding, pelvic pain signs of collapse</td>
<td>15.73 mIU/mL</td>
<td>salpingectomy (LT); salpingostomy (RT).</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>immature placental villi (RT); placental tissue (LT).</td>
<td>LT was ruptured; RT was unruptured.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al, 2014 [22]</td>
<td>1 (33)</td>
<td>vaginal bleeding</td>
<td>6993.1 IU/L</td>
<td>isthmus resection (RT); salpingostomy (LT).</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>placental villi in each tube.</td>
<td>left tubal pregnancy eight years before treated with methotrexate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. LT: left tube; RT: right tube.
Most patients were nulliparous (4/6, 66.7%) and, consequently, in most cases authors performed a conservative surgical approach (5/6, 83.3%). In only one case, a recurrent tubal pregnancy occurred (12 months after conservative treatment)[17].

In the case of substantial damage or active bleeding of one or both tubes, salpingectomy seems to be the most proper and safest treatment. In young and nulliparous women, preservation of at least one tube should be the main goal of the treatment and, as in the present reported case, salpingostomy should to be preferred. Spontaneous conception after BTP surgery is difficult; however, subsequent viable intrauterine pregnancies after salpingostomy were described[20].

Currently, there is no defined protocol regarding the addition of medical therapy after a conservative surgical procedure. Some authors reported the appearance of signs of a persistent ectopic pregnancy after conservative laparoscopic tubal surgery and the subsequent resolution of symptoms and normalization of hCG levels after treatment with methotrexate[19]. Accordingly, we decided to administer a single-dose MTX injection to reduce the risk of post-salpingostomy ectopic pregnancy persistence.

CONCLUSIONS
BTP represents an intriguing condition that has been seldom reported in medical literature. Surgeons should always keep in mind the possibility of BTP, especially when patient has one or more risk factors in her history. Failure in the pre-operative evaluation of the adnexa contralateral to the identified tubal pregnancy, may give rise to a suspicion. Counseling is very important in these cases and, consequently, the therapeutic approach must be tailored for every patient.
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